## STATISTICS 211

## Tutorial 6

## Solutions

1. Beth wants to see if a die is weighted. She records the number of times that a number comes up out of 60 throws. She records the following data:

| Value | frequency |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 12 |
| 2 | 8 |
| 3 | 15 |
| 4 | 9 |
| 5 | 10 |
| 6 | 6 |

Is the die weighted? Carry out the appropriate test procedure.

## Ho: the die is fair

Ha: the die is not fair
Expected frequency $=(1 / 6) 60=10$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{2}=\operatorname{sum} \text { of } \frac{(\text { obs freq -exp freq })^{2}}{\exp \text { freq }} \\
& \chi^{2}=\frac{(12-10)^{2}}{10}+\frac{(8-10)^{2}}{10}+\frac{(15-10)^{2}}{10}+\frac{(9-10)^{2}}{10}+\frac{(10-10)^{2}}{10}+\frac{(6-10)^{2}}{10}=5
\end{aligned}
$$

df=6-1=5
between $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ and $50 \%$ chance of observing these difference or differences more extreme. We can conclude that the die is fair because the differences between what we observe and what we expect is not significant.
2. An official of a plastics industry claimed that the industry employed $30 \%$ white women, $5 \%$ minority women, $50 \%$ white men, and $15 \%$ minority men. To test the claim, an affirmative action committee randomly sampled 150 employees and obtained the following information:

| Category | observed |
| :--- | :--- |
| White females | 40 |
| Minority females | 15 |
| White males | 80 |
| Minority males | 15 |

Test the official's claim.
Ho: $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ of white women, $\mathbf{5 \%}$ minority women, $50 \%$ white male, 155 minority men
Ha: Ho is false
Total\#= 150 expected frequencies: $.3(15)=45, .05(150)=7.5, .5(150)=75, .15(150)=22.5$
$\chi^{2}=\frac{(40-45)^{2}}{45}+\frac{(15-7.5)^{2}}{7.5}+\frac{(80-75)^{2}}{75}+\frac{(15-22.5)^{2}}{22.5}=\mathbf{1 0 . 8 9}$
df=3 less than 5\% or between 1\% and 5\%
There is less than a $5 \%$ chance of these difference behind due to random sampling. Few can conclude that the data does not support the plastic industry's claim.
3. A shipment of assorted nuts is labeled as having $45 \%$ walnuts, $20 \%$ hazelnuts, $20 \%$ almonds, and $15 \%$ pistachios. By randomly picking several scoops of nuts from this shipment, an inspector find the following counts.

|  | Walnuts | Hazelnuts | Almonds | Pistachios | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Counts | 92 | 69 | 32 | 42 | 235 |

Could these findings be a strong basis for an accusation of mislabeling?
Ho: the bag contains $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ walnuts, $20 \%$ hazelnuts, $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ almonds and $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ pistachios Ha: Ho is false
Expected frequencies: 235(.45)=105.75, 235(.2) $=\mathbf{4 7}$, 235(.15) $=\mathbf{3 5 . 2 5}$
$\chi^{2}=\frac{(92-105.75)^{2}}{105.75}+\frac{(69-47)^{2}}{47}+\frac{(32-47)^{2}}{47}+\frac{(42-35.25)^{2}}{35.25}=\mathbf{1 8 . 1 6 5}$
df=3 < $\mathbf{1 \%}$
There is less than $1 \%$ chance of these differences being due to random sampling or chance error. We conclude that the company is guilty of false labeling based on the sample data.
4. A personnel administrator provided the following data as an example of hiring to fill 12 positions from among 40 male and 40 female applicants.

| Applicant | Selected | Not Selected | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 7 | 33 | 40 |
| Female | 5 | 35 | 40 |

Does this sample indicate a selection bias in favour of males?
Ho: there is not selection bias
Ha: there is a selection bias.

| Applicant | Selected | Not Selected | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $7(6)$ | $33(34)$ | 40 |
| Female | $5(6)$ | $35(34)$ | 40 |
| 12 |  |  |  |

Expected freqy 40(12)/80=6 68(4)/80 $=32$

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{(7-6)^{2}}{6}+\frac{(33-34)^{2}}{34}+\frac{(5-6)^{2}}{6}+\frac{(35-34)^{2}}{34}=.392
$$

df=1
between 50\% and 70\% chance
There is between $50 \%$ and $70 \%$ chance of these difference being due to random sampling or chance error. We conclude that there is not selection bias.
5. Applicants for public assistance are allowed an appeals process when they feel unfairly treated. At such a hearing, the applicant may choose self-representation or representation by an attorney. The appeal may result in an increase, decrease, or no change of the aid recommendation. Court records of 320 appeals cases provided the following data.

Amount of Aid

| Type of Representation | Increased | Unchanged | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Self | 59 | 108 | 17 |
| Attorney | 70 | 63 | 3 |

Are the patterns of the appeals decision significantly different between the two types of representation?

Ho: there is no relationship between type of representation and aid recommendation Ha: there is a relationship between type of representation and aid recommendation.

| Amount of Aid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Representation | Increased |  | Unchanged |  | Decreased |  | Total |
| Self | 59 | (74.2) | 108 | (98.3) | 17 | (11.5) | 184 |
| Attorney | 70 | (54.8) | 63 | (72.7) | 3 | (8.5) | 136 |
|  | 129 |  | 171 |  | 20 |  | 320 |

expected frequencies: $184(129) / 320=72.6$ 184(171)/320=98.3.....

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\chi^{2} & =\frac{(59-74.2)^{2}}{74.2}+\frac{(108-98.3)^{2}}{98.3}+\frac{(17-11.5)^{2}}{11.5}+\frac{(70-54.8)^{2}}{54.8}+\frac{(63-72.7)^{2}}{72.7}+\frac{(3-8.5)^{2}}{8.5} \\
& =15.7
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { df }=2<1 \% \\
& \text { There is less than } 1 \% \text { chance of the differences being due to chance error. We conclude that there is a relationship }
\end{aligned}
$$

6. $\quad$ avg yr of car $=5.3 \mathrm{SD}=1.3$
avg price of car $=88$ (in hundreds) $\mathrm{SD}=29.7$ (in hundreds)
$\mathrm{r}=-.85$
a. Find the slope of the SD line

We want to predict price (y) based on age of car (x)
Slope SD line $=-S D y / S D x=-29.7 / 1.3=-22.85$
b. If the age of the car is 7, find the price of the car that would fall on the SD line.
$1^{\text {st }}$ find the \# of SD 7 is away from its average

$$
\mathbf{z}=\frac{(7-5.3)}{1.3}=\mathbf{1 . 3 1}
$$

Since this observation falls 1.31 SDs above the avg of $x$, then $y$ must fall 1.31 SDs below its avg when the relationship is negative.

$$
88-1.31(29.7)=49.09 \text { ( in hundred) or } \$ 4909
$$

7. In a study of the stability of IQ scores, a large group of individuals is tested once at age 18 and again at age 35. The following results are obtained

Age 18: average score $=100, \mathrm{SD}=15$
Age 35: average score $=100, S D=15, \quad r=0.8$
(a) Find the regression equation.

It makes sense that we'd want to predict the IQ at 35 based on the IQ at 18.
Slope $=\frac{r \times S D y}{S D x}=\frac{(.8) \times 15}{15}=.8$
Next find the $y$ intercept when $x=0$ (IQ at 18)
Such a person is 100 below the average IQ for 18 year olds.
Her IQ would be $100(.8)=\mathbf{8 0}$ below average for 35 year olds (since the relationship is positive).
Avg $y$ - amount below average $=(100)-80=20$ (this is the $y$-intercept when $x=0$ )
Equation of line: $y=.8(x)+20$
(b) Estimate the average score at age 35 for all the individuals who scored 115 at age 18.
$y=.8(115)+20=112$
8. A computer program prints out the following data set shown below.

| X | y | $\mathbf{x}$ std. Units | $\mathbf{y}$ std. units | product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ std. units <br> 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | -1.5 | 1 | $(-1.5)(1)=-1.5$ <br> 2 | 5 |
| -1 | $-1)(.5)=-.5$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | 7 | -.5 | 1.5 | $(-.5)(1.5)=-.75$ |
| 4 | 3 | 0 | -.5 | $0(-.5)=0$ |
| 5 | 4 | .5 | 0 | $(.5) 0$ |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | -1.5 | $(1)(-1.5)=-1.5$ |
| 7 | 2 | 1.5 | -1 | $(1.5)(-1)=-1.5$ |

$\operatorname{avg} x=4 \quad$ SDx $=2$
$\operatorname{avg} y=4 \quad$ SDy $=2$
(a) Compute r and interpret it.

Find out how many standard units each x observation and each y observation are away from its respective average.
e.g. $x=1$
$\mathrm{y}=6$
$\frac{(1-4)}{2}=-\mathbf{1 . 5}$

$$
\frac{(6-4)}{2}=\mathbf{1}
$$

$r=\operatorname{avg}$ of product of $x$ and $y$ standard units
$r=[-1.5+(-.5)+(-.75)+0+0+(-1.5)+(-1.5)] / 7=-.8214$
Fairly strong negative linear association ( $r$ is close to -1) between $x$ and $y$
rough estimate of $\mathbf{r}$
$\mathrm{r} \sim \frac{\operatorname{cov}(x, y)}{(S D \text { of } x) \times(S D \text { of } y)}=\frac{\operatorname{avg} x y-(\operatorname{avg} x)(\operatorname{avg} y)}{(S D \text { of } x) \times(S D \text { of } y)}=\frac{12.71-(4)(4)}{(2) \times(2)}=.8225$
(b) Find the regression equation

Slope $=\frac{r \times S D y}{S D x}=\frac{(-.8214) \times 2}{2}=-.8214$
Next find the $y$ intercept when $x=0$
$X=0$ is 4 below the average
The $y$ intercept should be $(-.8214)(4)=-3.29->$ above the average of $y$ since the relationship is negative.
Avg $y+$ amount above average $=4+3.29=7.29$ (this is the $y$-intercept when $x=0$ )
Equation of line: $\mathrm{y}=-.8214(\mathrm{x})+7.29$
(c) Estimate the y value when $\mathrm{x}=3.5$.

$$
y=-.8214(3.5)+7.29=4.4151
$$

(d) Find the r.m.s error.

$$
\text { r.m.s }=\sqrt{1-r^{2}} \times S D y=\sqrt{1-(-.8214)^{2}} \times 2=1.1407
$$

(e) Find the error for $\mathrm{x}=3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=-.8214(3)+7.29=4.8258 \\
& \text { error }=y-\text { expected } y=7-4.8258=2.1742
\end{aligned}
$$

9. In a study of heights, a large group of fathers and sons heights were recorded.

Father: $\quad$ average height $=68$ inches, $\mathrm{SD}=2.7$ inches
Son: average height $=69$ inches, $S D=2.7$ inches, $\quad r=0.5$
(a) Find the r.m.s error of the regression line for predicting son's height from father's height.
r.m.s $=\sqrt{1-r^{2}} \times S D y=\sqrt{1-(-.5)^{2}} \times 2.7=2.3383$
(b) If a father is 72 inches tall, predict his son's height
$\frac{(72-68)}{2.7}=\mathbf{1 . 4 8}$ The father is 1.48 SD above average ( x ). The son should be
$r \times 1.48$ SDy taller than avg (relationship is positive)
(.5) (1.48)(2.7) $=1.998$
$69+1.998=70.998$ or 71 inches
You could also find the regression equation.
Slope $=\frac{r \times S D y}{S D x}=\frac{(.5) \times 2.7}{2.7}=.5$
Next find the $y$ intercept when $x=0$
$X=0$ is 68 below the average
$(.5)(68)=34$ below the average of $y$ since the relationship is positive.
Avg $y$ - amount below average $=69-34=35$ (this is the $y$-intercept when $x=0$ )
Equation of line: $y=-.5(x)+35$
$Y=-.5(72)+35=71$
(c) This prediction is likely to be off by $\qquad$ inches or so. If more information is needed, say what it is and why.

$$
\text { r.m.s = } 2.3383
$$

more information is not needed.
(d) About what percentage of fathers have sons over 73 inches?
$Z=\frac{(73-69)}{2.7}=1.48 \quad$ using area under normal curve $\quad \sim 6.9 \%$
(e) Of the fathers that are 72 inches tall, what percentage of their sons have heights above 73 inches?

Expected son's height when father is 72 inches tall = 71 inches
SE for expected son's height $=\mathbf{r} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}=\mathbf{2 . 3 3 8 3}$
$Z=\frac{(73-71)}{2.3383}=.86 \sim \mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$
(f) Interpret $r$ in the context of the question. There is not a very strong positive linear relationship between a father's height and his son's height.

Review chapters $28,8,9,10,11$, and 12 in the text and do as many questions as possible from these chapters.

