## <u>The O and o notation</u>

Let f and g be functions of x.

1. Big O. We define f = O(g) to mean f/g is bounded, some limit

process for x being given. For example if that process is  $x \to +\infty$ then f = O(g) means that for some bound c and lower limit  $x_c$ say,  $|f(x)/g(x)| \leq c$  for all  $x \geq x_c$ . O saves us from specifying cand  $x_c$  each time. Mostly g(x) will be a power of x, including  $x^0 = 1$ . "Big O" is short for "Order" which in this context means size, so f = O(g) means, roughly, that f is not bigger than g.

Examples (a)  $x^p = O(x^q)$  as  $x \to +\infty$  if  $p \le q$ , but not as  $x \to 0+$ (we need  $p \ge q$  then). (b) f(x) = O(1) means f is bounded (for the given limit process). Similarly, cf = O(1) for any constant c. (c)  $(x-1)^{-1} = O(1)$  as  $x \to +\infty$  (take c = 1 and  $x_c = 2$ ). In fact we shall see below that  $(x-1)^{-1} = O(x^{-1})$ . Note that  $(x-1)^{-1}$  is not bounded for all x (take x = 1).

2. <u>Small o.</u> We define f = o(g) to mean  $f/g \to 0$ , again for some given limit process in x. For example if the process is  $x \to +\infty$  then f = o(g) means that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $x_{\varepsilon}$  so that  $|f(x)/g(x)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $x \ge x_{\varepsilon}$ . "Small o" means "of smaller order", and saves us from specifying  $\varepsilon$  and  $x_{\varepsilon}$  each time.

Examples (a)  $x^p = o(x^q)$  as  $x \to +\infty$  if p < q but not if p = q(then we have  $O(x^q)$ ). We need p > q if  $x \to 0+$ . (b) f(x) = o(1) means  $f(x) \to 0$  (for the given limit process). Similarly, cf = o(1) for any constant c. Note that f(x) = o(1) implies f(x) = O(1). (Why?) (c)  $(x - 1)^{-1} = o(1)$  as  $x \to +\infty$ but not as  $x \to 0$  (or 0 - or 0+) but then we do have O(1)) instead. (d) If f is continuous at  $x_0$ , say, then  $f(x) \to f(x_0)$ , so we have

$$f(x) - f(x_0) = o(1)$$
, as  $x \to x_0$ .

3. <u>Calculus</u>. O and o obey sum rules

$$f_1 = O(g)$$
 and  $f_2 = O(g)$  imply  $f_1 + f_2 = O(g)$   
 $f_1 = o(g)$  and  $f_2 = o(g)$  imply  $f_1 + f_2 = o(g)$ 

and product rules

$$f_1 = O(g_1)$$
 and  $f_2 = O(g_2)$  imply  $f_1 f_2 = O(g_1 g_2)$   
 $f_1 = o(g_1)$  and  $f_2 = o(g_2)$  imply  $f_1 f_2 = o(g_1 g_2)$ .

Examples (a) If  $f(x) = O(x^p)$  then  $x^{-q}f(x) = O(x^{-q})O(x^p) = O(x^{p-q})$ as  $x \to 0+$ . Similarly with o. Thus we can take factors out of equations like  $f(x) = o(x^p)$ . The case p = q gives  $x^{-p}f(x) = o(1)$ , i.e.,  $x^{-p}f(x) \to 0$ .

(b) Let us improve on 1(c). We have

$$\frac{x}{x-1} = \frac{x-1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x-1}.$$

By 1(c) and the sum rule,  $x(x-1)^{-1} = O(1) + O(1) = O(1)$ , as  $x \to +\infty$ . Now the product rule allows us to factor out x to give  $(x-1)^{-1} = O(x^{-1})$ , as promised earlier.

<u>Differentiation</u> is more tricky, and if for example  $f(x) = x^2 \sin(1/x)$  then f(x) = o(x) but  $f'(x) \neq o(1)$  as  $x \to 0$ .

Integration. Again some caution is needed but the following result will be enough for our purposes. Suppose that f = o(g), and that g is of one sign, in a (perhaps one-sided) neighbourhood N of  $x_0$ . Then (d)  $\int_{x_0}^x f = o(\int_{x_0}^x g)$  as  $x \to x_0$  within N.

An example of this is given in the next section. A similar result holds if o is replaced by O.

A proof of (d), which uses a bit of Analysis, is as follows.

For simplicity suppose that g > 0,  $x - x_0 > 0$  in N. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ there is  $x_{\varepsilon}$  so that  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon g(x)$  whenever x lies between  $x_0$  and  $x_{\varepsilon}$ . Integrating, we obtain

$$\left|\int_{x_0}^x f\right| \le \int_{x_0}^x |f| < \varepsilon \int_{x_0}^x g.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrarily small, this completes the proof.

4. Taylor approximations (a) The simplest of these is the zeroth order  $\overline{(\text{constant})}$  approximation  $f(x) = f(x_0) + o(1)$  which holds as in 1(d) if f is continuous at  $x_0$ , the limit process (here and below) being  $x \to x_0$ .

(b) If f is differentiable at  $x_0$  then  $\frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0} = f'(x_0) + o(1)$ , i.e.,

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(x - x_0)$$

by the product rule in 3.

(c) If f is continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of  $x_0$ , then by the mean value theorem,  $f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_1)(x - x_0)$  where  $x_1$  lies in the interval between x and  $x_0$ . Since f' is continuous we obtain, as in (a),  $f'(x_1) = f'(x_0) + o(1)$  as x (hence  $x_1) \to x_0$ , so substitution gives another proof of  $f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(x - x_0)$ .

(d) If f is twice differentiable at  $x_0$ , (perhaps one sidedly), then as in (b),  $f'(x) = f'(x_0) + f''(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(x - x_0)$ , i.e.,

$$f'(x) - f'(x_0) - f''(x_0)(x - x_0) = o(x - x_0).$$

Integrating and using 3(d) we obtain

$$f(x) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)(x - x_0) - \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2 = o(x - x_0)^2,$$

i.e.,

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2 + o(x - x_0)^2.$$

(e) In general, if f is n times differentiable at  $x_0$ , (perhaps one sidedly), then similar reasoning gives

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \ldots + \frac{1}{n!}f^{(n)}(x_0)(x - x_0)^n + o(x - x_0)^n$$