SHOW ALL WORK. Marks for each problem are to the left of the problem number. NO CALCULATORS PLEASE.

[4] 1. Use the Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(74, 35).

Recall from lecture: if a and b are positive integers, then

 $gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a \mod b).$

By repeated application of this principle, we have the following:

 $74 = 2 \cdot 35 + 4 \implies 74 \mod 35 = 4 \implies \gcd(74, 35) = \gcd(35, 4)$ $35 = 8 \cdot 4 + 3 \implies 35 \mod 4 = 3 \implies \gcd(35, 4) = \gcd(4, 3)$ $4 = 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \implies 4 \mod 3 = 1 \implies \gcd(4, 3) = \gcd(3, 1)$ $3 = 3 \cdot 1 + 0 \implies 3 \mod 1 = 0 \implies \gcd(3, 1) = \gcd(1, 0) = 1.$

Therefore,

$$\gcd(74,35) = 1.$$

[7] 2. One of the following statements is true and one is false. Prove the true statement **by contradiction**. Give a counterexample for the false statement.

(a) For all sets A and B, if $3 \notin A$ then $3 \notin A \cup B$.

This statement is false. Here is a counter-example: let $A = \emptyset$ and let $B = \{3\}$. Note that $A \cup B = \{3\}$. Then $3 \notin A$ and $3 \in A \cup B$.

(b) For all sets A and B, if $3 \notin A$ then $3 \notin A \cap B$.

This statement is true.

Proof: Suppose (for a contradiction) that the negation is true; in other words, suppose there exist sets A and B such that $3 \notin A$ and $3 \in A \cap B$. Since $3 \in A \cap B$ it follows from the definition of set intersection that $3 \in A$ and $3 \in B$. Since this contradicts $3 \notin A$, it follows that the negation is false. QED

Another (slightly different) proof starts off directly. Let A and B be sets so that $3 \notin A$. We want to prove that $3 \notin A \cap B$. Suppose (for a contradiction) that $3 \in A \cap B$. This means that $3 \in A$ and $3 \in B$. But $3 \in A$ contradicts $3 \notin A$. Thus $3 \notin A \cap B$. Done. [11] 3. Let S be the statement: for all integers a, if $6 \mid a$ then $6 \mid (3a - 12)$. (a) Prove directly from the definition of divisibility that S is true.

Let a be an arbitrary (but fixed) integer. Suppose $6 \mid a$. Then a = 6k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, 3a - 12 = 18k - 12 = 6(3k - 2). Since 3k - 2 is an integer, it follows from 3a - 12 = 6(3k - 2) that $6 \mid (3a - 12)$. QED

(b) Write out the *converse* of statement \mathcal{S} , and give a proof or disproof.

The converse of statement S is the following: For all integers a, if $6 \mid (3a - 12)$ then $6 \mid a$.

Proof: This statement is false, and here is a counterexample. Let a = 2. Then 3a-12 = 6 - 12 = -6, and so $6 \mid (3a - 12)$. However $6 \nmid 2$, so $6 \nmid a$, so the converse of S is false.

(c) Write out the *contrapositive* of statement \mathcal{S} , and give a proof or disproof.

The contrapositive of statement S is the following: For all integers a, if $6 \not| (3a - 12)$ then $6 \not| a$. This is true since it is logically equivalent to statement S, which is true (see (a) above). [6] 4. In this problem, you may assume that every integer is either even or odd but not both.

(a) Prove or disprove the statement:

"For all integers a, either a + 4 is odd or 4a + 1 is even."

The statement is false. To see this, prove the negation: "There is some integer a so that a + 4 is even and 4a + 1 is odd."

Proof: Let a = 0. Then a + 4 = 4 is even and 4a + 1 = 1 is odd. QED

(b) Write out the *negation* of the statement in (a). Is it true or false?

The negation of "For all integers a, either a + 4 is odd or 4a + 1 is even" is "There is some integer a so that a + 4 is even and 4a + 1 is odd". The negation is true, as shown in part (a) above.

- [5] 5. Prove or disprove the following two statements:
- (a) \forall sets $A \exists$ a set B so that $A B = \emptyset$.

The statement is true.

Proof: Let A be an arbitrary (but fixed) set. Define B := A. Then $A - B = A - A = \emptyset$. QED

(b) \forall sets $A \exists$ a set B so that $A - \{1\} = B - \{2\}$.

The statement is false. To see this, prove the negation: "There is a set A such that for every set B, $A - \{1\} \neq B - \{2\}$.

Proof: Let $A = \{2\}$. Then $A - \{1\} = \{2\}$. Thus, $2 \in A - \{1\}$. For every set B, $2 \notin B - \{2\}$, by the definition of set difference. Thus, $2 \in A - \{1\}$ and $2 \notin B - \{2\}$, from which it follows immediately that $A - \{1\} \neq B - \{2\}$. QED

[7] 6. The sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots is defined by: $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2$, and $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + 5a_{n-2}$ for all integers $n \ge 3$. Prove using strong mathematical induction that $a_n \ge 3^{n-1}$ for all integers $n \ge 3$.

Let P(n) be the predicate: $a_n \ge 3^{n-1}$. We will prove:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \geq 3 \text{ implies } P(n).$$

I. Base Case:

- (i) Suppose n = 3. Then $a_n = a_3 = 2a_2 + 5a_1 = 2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 1 = 9$. On the other hand $3^{n-1} = 3^{3-1} = 3^2 = 9$. Since $9 \ge 9$, it follows that $a_n \ge 3^{n-1}$ when n = 3. Thus, P(3) is true.
- (ii) Suppose n = 4. Then $a_n = a_4 = 2a_3 + 5a_2 = 2 \cdot 9 + 5 \cdot 2 = 28$. On the other hand $3^{n-1} = 3^{4-1} = 3^3 = 27$. Since $28 \ge 27$, it follows that $a_n \ge 3^{n-1}$ when n = 4. Thus, P(4) is true.

II. Inductive Step: Let k be an integer and $k \ge 5$. Suppose, for all integers i, if $3 \le i \le k$ then P(i) is true. (This is the inductive hypothesis.) We will show that P(k+1) is true.

$$a_{k+1} = 2a_k + 5a_{k-1}, \quad by \ definition \ above \\ \ge 2(3^{k-1}) + 5(3^{k-2}), \quad using \ P(k) \ and \ P(k-1) \\ = 2(3^{k-1}) + (3+2)(3^{k-2}) \\ = 2 \cdot 3^{k-1} + 3 \cdot 3^{k-2} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2} \\ = 2 \cdot 3^{k-1} + 3^{k-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2} \\ = 3 \cdot 3^{k-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2} \\ = 3^k + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}.$$

Since $3^{k-2} > 0$, therefore

$$3^k + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2} > 3^k$$
.

Therefore

$$a_{k+1} \ge 3^k$$

in other words, P(k+1) is true.

By the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction, it follows that $a_n \geq 3^{n-1}$ for all integers $n \geq 3$.

[40]