

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

MATH 323 — Quiz no.5 — Sections T01, T02 — April 10, 2007

TIME: 30 minutes

SOLUTIONS

marks

NAME: Marking Key

5 1. Let X have a Binominal distribution with $n = 5$ and p unknown.

3 (a) Use the Neyman-Pearson lemma to find the most powerful test of the null hypothesis $H_0: p = 1/2$ against the alternative hypothesis $H_1: p = 2/3$ at level $\alpha = 6/32$.

$$L(x, p) = \binom{5}{x} p^x (1-p)^{5-x} \leftarrow (1/2)$$

By the N-P lemma, the MP test rejects H_0 when $\frac{L(x, 1/2)}{L(x, 2/3)}$ is too small

OR when $\frac{\binom{5}{x} (1/2)^x (1/2)^{5-x}}{\binom{5}{x} (2/3)^x (1/3)^{5-x}} = \frac{(1/2)^5}{(2/3)^5 (1/3)^x} = \text{const.} \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^x$ is too small. $(1/2)$

OR when X is too large. $\leftarrow (1)$

So the MP test rejects when $X \geq C$, where C is determined by $P_{p=1/2}[X \geq C] = \frac{6}{32}$

By trial-and-error, $P_{p=1/2}[X \geq 4] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 + 5\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{6}{32}$. $\leftarrow (1/2)$ In MP level $\alpha = 6/32$

2 (b) Find the power of the test of part (a) against the alternative $p = 2/3$. test is: reject H_0 when $X \geq 4$ $(1/2)$

The power at $p = 2/3$ is

$$P_{p=2/3}[\text{Reject } H_0] = P_{p=2/3}[X \geq 4] \leftarrow (1)$$

$$= P_{p=2/3}[X=5] + P_{p=2/3}[X=4] = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^5 + 5\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 \frac{1}{3} \leftarrow (1/2)$$

$$= \frac{32}{243} + \frac{80}{243} = \frac{112}{243} \leftarrow (1/2)$$

- 5 - 2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a Poisson distribution with unknown mean $\lambda > 0$. Find the form of the level- α Likelihood Ratio Test of the null hypothesis $H_0: \lambda = 1$ against the composite alternative hypothesis $H_1: \lambda \neq 1$. (Simplify the form of the test statistic as much as possible.)

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!} \quad \leftarrow (1)$$

The L-R test rejects H_0 when $\frac{L(1)}{L(\hat{\lambda})}$ is too small, $\leftarrow (2)$

where $\hat{\lambda}$ is the MLE of λ .

To find this, note $\log L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + (\sum x_i) \log \lambda - \sum \log(x_i!)$,

and solve $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log L(\lambda) = -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda} = 0$ to obtain

the MLE $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$. $\leftarrow (1)$

So the L-R test rejects when $\frac{e^{-n} 1^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!} \frac{\prod x_i!}{e^{-n\bar{x}} \bar{x}^{(n\bar{x})}}$ too small $\leftarrow (2)$

OR when $\frac{e^{-n\bar{x}}}{\bar{x}^{n\bar{x}}}$ too small.

OR when $n\bar{x} (1 - \log \bar{x})$ is too small. $\leftarrow (1)$

(Give full credit for getting this far, even though very good students will be able to deduce that L-R test rejects when $\bar{x} \leq C_1$ or $\geq C_2$, and will be able to write down two sets of side conditions to determine C_1 and C_2 for achievable α 's.)