

STAT 407Solutions to Assignment #3Chapter 6

12. (a) If the state is the number of individuals at time  $t$ , we get a birth and death process with

$$\lambda_n = n\lambda + \theta \quad n < N$$

$$\lambda_n = n\lambda \quad n \geq N$$

$$\mu_n = n\mu.$$

- (b) Let  $P_i$  be the long-run probability that the system is in state  $i$ . Since this is also the proportion of time the system is in state  $i$ , we are looking for  $\sum_{i=3}^{\infty} P_i$ .

$$\text{We have } \lambda_k P_k = \mu_{k+1} P_{k+1}.$$

This yields

$$P_1 = \frac{\theta}{\mu} P_0$$

$$P_2 = \frac{\lambda + \theta}{2\mu} P_1 = \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)}{2\mu^2} P_0$$

$$P_3 = \frac{2\lambda + \theta}{2\mu} P_2 = \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)(2\lambda + \theta)}{6\mu^3} P_0.$$

For  $k \geq 4$ , we get

$$P_k = \frac{(k-1)\lambda}{k\mu} P_{k-1},$$

which implies

$$P_k = \frac{(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(3)}{(k)(k-1)\cdots(4)} \left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right]^{k-3}$$

$$P_3 = \frac{3}{k} \left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right]^{k-3} P_3;$$

$$\text{therefore } \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} P_k = 3 \left[\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right]^3 P_3 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left[\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right]^k,$$

(continued)

2

12(b) (continued)

32

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right]^k &= \log \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}} \right] \\ &= \log \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right] \text{ if } \frac{\lambda}{\mu} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} P_k &= 3 \left[ \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right]^3 P_3 \left[ \log \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right]^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} P_k &= 3 \left[ \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right]^3 \left[ \log \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right] - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right]^2 \right] \\ &\quad \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)(2\lambda + \theta)}{6\mu^3} P_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\sum_0^{\infty} P_i = 1$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= \left[ 1 + \frac{\theta}{\mu} + \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)}{2\mu^2} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^3} \theta(\lambda + \theta)(2\lambda + \theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \log \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right] - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right]^2 \right] \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

And finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} P_k &= \left[ \left[ \frac{1}{2\lambda^3} \right] \left[ \log \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right] - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right]^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)(2\lambda + \theta)}{6\mu^3} \right] / \left[ 1 + \frac{\theta}{\mu} + \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)}{2\mu^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\theta(\lambda + \theta)(2\lambda + \theta)}{2\lambda^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \log \left[ \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right] - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right]^2 \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

13. With the number of customers in the shop as the state, we get a birth and death process with

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 3 \quad \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 4.$$

Therefore

$$P_1 = \frac{3}{4}P_0 \quad P_2 = \frac{3}{4}P_1 = \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^2 P_0.$$

And since  $\sum_0^2 P_i = 1$ , we get

$$P_0 = \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} + \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^2\right]^{-1} = \frac{16}{37}.$$

(a) The average number of customers in the shop is

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 + 2P_2 &= \left[\frac{3}{4} + 2\left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^2\right] P_0 \\ &= \frac{30}{16} \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} + \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^2\right]^{-1} = \frac{30}{37}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) The proportion of customers that enter the shop is

$$\frac{\lambda(1 - P_2)}{\lambda} = 1 - P_2 = 1 - \frac{9}{16} \cdot \frac{16}{37} = \frac{28}{37}.$$

(c) Now  $\mu = 8$ , and so

$$P_0 = \left[1 + \frac{3}{8} + \left[\frac{3}{8}\right]^2\right]^{-1} = \frac{64}{97}.$$

So the proportion of customers who now enter the shop is

$$1 - P_2 = 1 - \left[\frac{3}{8}\right]^2 \frac{264}{97} = 1 - \frac{9}{97} = \frac{88}{97}.$$

The rate of added customers is therefore

$$\lambda \left[\frac{88}{97}\right] - \lambda \left[\frac{28}{37}\right] = 3 \left[\frac{88}{97} - \frac{28}{37}\right] = 0.45.$$

The business he does would improve by 0.45 customers per hour.

14. Letting the number of cars in the station be the state, we have a birth and death process with

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 20, \quad \lambda_i = 0, \quad i > 2,$$

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 12.$$

Hence,

$$P_1 = \frac{5}{3}P_0, \quad P_2 = \frac{5}{3}P_1 = \left[\frac{5}{3}\right]^2 P_0,$$

$$P_3 = \frac{5}{3}P_2 = \left[\frac{5}{3}\right]^3 P_0$$

and as  $\sum_0^3 P_i = 1$ , we have

$$P_0 = \left[1 + \frac{5}{3} + \left[\frac{5}{3}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{5}{3}\right]^3\right]^{-1} = \frac{27}{272}.$$

(a) The fraction of the attendant's time spent servicing cars is equal to the fraction of time there are cars in the system and is therefore  $1 - P_0 = 245/272$ .

(b) The fraction of potential customers that are lost is equal to the fraction of customers that arrive when there are three cars in the station and is therefore

$$P_3 = \left[\frac{5}{3}\right]^3 P_0 = 125/272.$$

15. With the number of customers in the system as the state, we get a birth and death process with

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 3, \quad \lambda_i = 0, \quad i \geq 4,$$

$$\mu_1 = 2, \quad \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 4.$$

Therefore, the balance equations reduce to

$$P_1 = \frac{3}{2}P_0, \quad P_2 = \frac{3}{4}P_1 = \frac{9}{8}P_0, \quad P_3 = \frac{3}{4}P_2 = \frac{27}{32}P_0.$$

And therefore,

$$P_0 = \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{9}{8} + \frac{27}{32}\right]^{-1} = \frac{32}{143}.$$

(a) The fraction of potential customers that enter the system is

$$\frac{\lambda(1 - P_3)}{\lambda} = 1 - P_3 = 1 - \frac{27}{32} \times \frac{32}{143} = \frac{116}{143}.$$

(b) With a server working twice as fast we would get

$$P_1 = \frac{3}{4}P_0, \quad P_2 = \frac{3}{4}P_1 = \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^2 P_0, \quad P_3 = \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^3 P_0,$$

$$\text{and } P_0 = \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} + \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{3}{4}\right]^3\right]^{-1} = \frac{64}{175}.$$

So that now

$$1 - P_3 = 1 - \frac{27}{64} = 1 - \frac{64}{175} = \frac{148}{175}.$$

22. The number in the system is a birth and death process with parameters

$$\lambda_n = \lambda/(n+1), \quad n \geq 0$$

$$\mu_n = \mu, \quad n \geq 1.$$

From Equation (5.3),

$$1/P_0 = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda/\mu)^n/n! = e^{\lambda/\mu}$$

and

$$P_n = P_0(\lambda/\mu)^n/n! = e^{-\lambda/\mu}(\lambda/\mu)^n/n!, \quad n \geq 0.$$

23. Let the state denote the number of machines that are down. This yields a birth and death process with

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{3}{10}, \lambda_1 = \frac{2}{10}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{10}, \lambda_i = 0, \quad i \geq 3$$

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{8}, \mu_2 = \frac{2}{8}, \mu_3 = \frac{2}{8}.$$

The balance equations reduce to

$$P_1 = \frac{3/10}{1/8} P_0 = \frac{12}{5} P_0$$

$$P_2 = \frac{2/10}{2/8} P_1 = \frac{4}{5} P_1 = \frac{48}{25} P_0$$

$$P_3 = \frac{1/10}{2/8} P_2 = \frac{4}{10} P_2 = \frac{192}{250} P_0.$$

Hence, using  $\sum_0^3 P_i = 1$ , yields

$$P_0 = \left[ 1 + \frac{12}{5} + \frac{48}{25} + \frac{192}{250} \right]^{-1} = \frac{250}{1522}.$$

(a) Average number not in use

$$= P_1 + 2P_2 + 3P_3 = \frac{2136}{1522} = \frac{1068}{761}.$$

(b) Proportion of time both repairmen are busy

$$= P_2 + P_3 = \frac{672}{1522} = \frac{336}{761}.$$

# Chapter 7

1. (a) Yes, (b) no, (c) no.

2. (a)  $S_n$  is Poisson with mean  $n\mu$ .

(b)  $P\{N(t) = n\}$

$$= P\{N(t) \geq n\} - P\{N(t) \geq n+1\}$$

$$= P\{S_n \leq t\} - P\{S_{n+1} \leq t\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{[t]} e^{-n\mu} (n\mu)^k / k!$$

$$- \sum_{k=0}^{[t]} e^{-(n+1)\mu} [(n+1)\mu]^k / k!,$$

where  $[t]$  is the largest integer not exceeding  $t$ .

3. By the one-to-one correspondence of  $m(t)$  and  $F$ , it follows that  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $1/2$ . Hence,

$$P\{N(5) = 0\} = e^{-5/2}.$$

4. (a) No! Suppose, for instance, that the interarrival times of the first renewal process are identically equal to 1. Let the second be a Poisson process. If the first interarrival time of the process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is equal to  $3/4$ , then we can be certain that the next one is less than or equal to  $1/4$ .

(b) No! Use the same processes as in (a) for a counter example. For instance, the first interarrival will equal 1 with probability  $e^{-\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the rate of the Poisson process. The probability will be different for the next interarrival.

(c) No, because of (a) or (b).

5. The random variable  $N$  is equal to  $N(I) + 1$  where  $\{N(t)\}$  is the renewal process whose interarrival distribution is uniform on  $(0, 1)$ . By the results of Example 2c,

$$P\{N\} = a(1) + 1 = e.$$

6. Once every five months.

# Chapter 10

1.  $X(s) + X(t) = 2X(s) + X(t) - X(s)$ .

Now  $2X(s)$  is normal with mean 0 and variance  $4s$  and  $X(t) - X(s)$  is normal with mean 0 and variance  $t - s$ . As  $X(s)$  and  $X(t) - X(s)$  are independent, it follows that  $X(s) + X(t)$  is normal with mean 0 and variance  $4s + t - s = 3s + t$ .

2. The conditional distribution  $X(s) - A$  given that  $X(t_1) = A$  and  $X(t_2) = B$  is the same as the conditional distribution of  $X(s - t_1)$  given that  $X(0) = 0$  and  $X(t_2 - t_1) = B - A$ , which by (1.4) is normal with mean  $\frac{s - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}(B - A)$  and variance  $\frac{(s - t_1)(t_2 - t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}$ . Hence the desired conditional distribution is normal with mean  $A + \frac{(s - t_1)(B - A)}{t_2 - t_1}$  and variance  $\frac{(s - t_1)(t_2 - s)}{t_2 - t_1}$ .

3.  $E[X(t_1)X(t_2)X(t_3)]$   
 $= E[E[X(t_1)X(t_2)X(t_3) | X(t_1), X(t_2)]]$   
 $= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)E[X(t_3) | X(t_1), X(t_2)]]$   
 $= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)X(t_2)]$   
 $= E[E[X(t_1)E[X^2(t_2) | X(t_1)]]]$   
 $= E[X(t_1)E[X^2(t_2) | X(t_1)]] \quad (*)$   
 $= E[X(t_1)\{(t_2 - t_1) + X^2(t_1)\}]$   
 $= E[X^3(t_1)] + (t_2 - t_1)E[X(t_1)]$   
 $= 0$

where the equality (\*) follows since given  $X(t_1)$ ,  $X(t_2)$  is normal with mean  $X(t_1)$  and variance  $t_2 - t_1$ . Also,  $E[X^3(t)] = 0$  since  $X(t)$  is normal with mean 0.

4. (a)  $P\{T_a < \infty\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{T_a \leq t\}$   
 $= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2r}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy$  by (10.6)  
 $= 2P\{N(0, 1) > 0\} = 1.$

Part (b) can be proven by using

$$E[T_a] = \int_0^\infty P\{T_a > t\} dt$$

in conjunction with (2.3).

5.  $P\{T_1 < T_{-1} < T_2\} = P\{\text{hit 1 before } -1 \text{ before } 2\}$   
 $= P\{\text{hit 1 before } -1\}$   
 $\times P\{\text{hit } -1 \text{ before } 2 \mid \text{hit 1 before } -1\}$   
 $= \frac{1}{2} P\{\text{down 2 before up 1}\}$   
 $= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{6}.$

The next to last equality follows by looking at the Brownian motion when it first hits 1.

6. The probability of recovering your purchase price is the probability that a Brownian motion goes up  $c$  by time  $t$ . Hence the desired probability is

$$1 - P\{\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} X(s) \geq c\} = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{c/\sqrt{t}}^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy$$

7. Let  $M = \{\max_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} X(s) > x\}$ . Condition on  $X(t_1)$  to obtain

$$P(M) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty P(M | X(t_1) = y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1}} e^{-y^2/2t_1} dy$$

Now, use that

$$P(M | X(t_1) = y) = 1 \quad y \geq x$$

and, for  $y < x$

$$P(M | X(t_1) = y) = P\{\max_{0 < s < t_2 - t_1} X(s) > x - y\}$$

$$= 2P\{X(t_2 - t_1) > x - y\}$$